

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
https://eurasianjipulmonol.org

DOI:
10.14744/ejp.2026.27672

Methodological considerations on the association between eosinophilia and tuberculosis treatment duration

Ayşegül Erinç

ORCID:

Ayşegül Erinç: 0000-0001-8822-7944

Dear Editor,

We read with interest the article by Yayla et al.,^[1] entitled “The impact of eosinophilia on treatment duration in patients with newly diagnosed drug-sensitive pulmonary tuberculosis.”. The authors address a relatively unexplored question; however, several methodological issues may affect the interpretation of the association between eosinophilia and treatment duration.

First, although the introduction describes eosinophilia and its possible antimycobacterial effects, the clinical rationale for why peripheral eosinophilia might influence tuberculosis treatment duration could be clearer.^[2] Conversely, the operational definitions of eosinophilia, particularly the absolute eosinophil count (AEC) threshold of ≥ 500 cells/ μ L, are discussed extensively in the introduction, although these would more appropriately belong in the Methods section.

The exclusion of patients with AEC ≥ 1500 cells/ μ L deserves consideration. Patients with more pronounced eosinophilia may

represent an important eosinophilic phenotype; excluding them may introduce selection bias and limit generalizability. Moreover, categorizing eosinophilia solely as present or absent using a threshold of 500 cells/ μ L may result in the loss of relevant information. In addition to categorical analysis, AEC could have been evaluated as a continuous variable using baseline, peak, or changes during treatment to assess a possible dose-response relationship between eosinophil levels and treatment duration.

Similarly, treatment duration was dichotomized as ≤ 6 months versus >6 months for logistic regression. Although clinically intuitive, this approach discards information on actual treatment duration, which ranged from 6 to 9 months in both groups. Retaining treatment duration as a continuous or time-based outcome could provide additional information and avoid the limitations associated with dichotomization.

Potential confounding is also important. Treatment duration in pulmonary tuberculosis may be influenced by disease severity and treatment response. However,

How to cite this article: Erinç A. Methodological considerations on the association between eosinophilia and tuberculosis treatment duration. *Eurasian J Pulmonol* 2026;28:227-228.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: kare@karepb.com



Department of Pulmonology,
University of Health
Sciences, Yedikule Chest
Disease and Thoracic
Surgery Training and
Research Hospital,
Istanbul, Türkiye

**Address for
correspondence:**

Dr. Ayşegül Erinç,
Department of Pulmonology,
University of Health
Sciences, Yedikule Chest
Disease and Thoracic
Surgery Training and
Research Hospital,
Istanbul, Türkiye.
E-mail:
draysgul@hotmail.com

Received: 24-08-2026
Accepted: 27-08-2026
Published: 21-09-2026

Table 1 does not include radiological parameters such as cavitation, bilateral involvement, or overall radiological extent. Microbiological indicators that may influence treatment prolongation are also not presented. The authors acknowledge the absence of baseline cavitary disease as a limitation. Nevertheless, because radiological severity may directly influence treatment duration, the lack of adjustment for these variables may substantially affect the observed association with eosinophilia.^[3]

Baseline characteristics could also be presented more comprehensively. Sex, despite differing significantly between the groups, is absent from Table 1. Several comorbidities included in the regression model are reported only in the text. Total bilirubin and other laboratory parameters relevant to treatment tolerability and duration are also not presented. A more complete baseline table would facilitate the assessment of group comparability and residual confounding.

Finally, although the results refer to univariate analysis, the complete results and criteria for multivariable variable selection are unclear. Age was excluded because it was not significant in the univariate analysis and was similarly distributed between the groups. Relying predominantly on univariate statistical significance may overlook clinically relevant confounders. Providing the full univariate analysis and a clearer explanation of the prespecified or clinically based variable-selection strategy would improve the transparency of the regression analysis.

In conclusion, the association between eosinophilia and shorter tuberculosis treatment duration is intriguing. However, possible selection bias resulting from the exclusion of marked eosinophilia, dichotomization of eosinophilia and treatment duration, incomplete adjustment for radiological and clinical determinants of treatment

duration, and limited reporting of the regression strategy should be considered when interpreting the findings. Further analyses treating eosinophil count as a continuous variable and incorporating major determinants of tuberculosis disease severity would strengthen the conclusions.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding

The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

Use of AI for Writing Assistance

No use of AI-assisted technologies was declared by the authors.

Author Contributions

Concept – A.E.; Design – A.E.; Supervision – A.E.; Resource – A.E.; Materials – A.E.; Data Collection and/or Processing – A.E.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – A.E.; Literature Review – A.E.; Writing – A.E.; Critical Review – A.E.

Peer-review

Externally peer-reviewed.

References

1. Yayla S, Deniz S, Karaman O, Vayisoğlu Şahin G. The impact of eosinophilia on treatment duration in patients with newly diagnosed drug-sensitive pulmonary tuberculosis. *Eurasian J Pulmonol* 2026;28(2):111–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Bohrer AC, Castro E, Hu Z, Queiroz ATL, Tocheny CE, Assmann M, et al. Eosinophils are part of the granulocyte response in tuberculosis and promote host resistance in mice. *J Exp Med* 2021;218(10):e20210469. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Nahid P, Dorman SE, Alipanah N, Barry PM, Brozek JL, Cattamanchi A, et al. Official American Thoracic Society / Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/ Infectious Diseases Society of America Clinical Practice Guidelines: Treatment of Drug-Susceptible Tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;63(7):e147–95. [\[CrossRef\]](#)